



Links report best practices

Last Modified on 04/28/2026 12:54 pm EDT

URL checker links reports are a lot like SEO audits: you get a large list of things you could fix, but the reality is that a lot of them are mostly functioning and you have to make some decisions about what you'll prioritize fixing.

Some sites will always throw a particular code [particularly the 300-level codes]. Working with your report means figuring out what those are so you can ignore that noise.

Here are some general best practices to consider as you review your report.

General code guidance

In general, 400- and 500-level codes signify broken links, while most 300-levels are redirects that load successfully. But that's not always true.

While you might want to spot-check a few of them, ignoring 401 or 403 codes is likely a safe choice. Most of the 403 errors we investigated seemed to be perfectly functioning pages, and we now filter out these codes when we review our own reports.

Learn your false errors

You may also learn that certain errors seem tied to certain sites and aren't "real" broken links. We've noticed in our own testing that certain domains throw some errors on all URLs, even valid ones. In most cases, this is because the domains or sites block the kinds of automated requests our URL checker makes to check the status code.

For example: We reference Zapier documentation a lot. Basically every link to Zapier documentation throws a 405 error (405: Method Not Allowed). If we view the pages in our browser, they load fine. But if we make the cURL request that our URL checker uses (`curl -I https://url-to-check.com`), the 405 error is again returned. Zapier doesn't support this method of checking URLs. ☒

We now generally ignore 405 codes on Zapier hyperlinks since we know this is an issue.

Let us know how we can help

If you're confused about a particular code or what's happening with a page, [contact us](#) and we'll try to help you make sense of it.